

STANDARIZED LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

CANDIDATE NAME: _____

ERAS #: _____

MEDICAL SCHOOL: _____

EVALUATOR NAME/CREDENTIALS: _____

EVALUATOR INSTITUTION: _____

SPECIFY THE CONTEXT OF THIS EVALUATION:

Opinion of candidate: Is My Own: Composite:

How Long have you known the applicant? _____

Context and depth of interaction with candidate (*specify, for example, if this was a virtual AI and what that means for your institution*):

RATING SCALE:

Good

Very Good

Outstanding

Exceptional e.g. "Top 5%" – "one of the best candidates" – "will have a high impact in Urology"

CANDIDATE EVALUATION: (Good, Very Good, Outstanding, Exceptional) SELECT ONE:

	GOOD	VERY GOOD	OUTSTANDING	EXCEPTIONAL
Communication:				
Professionalism:				
Team Player:				
Teachability/Response to Feedback:				
Technical Aptitude:				
Leadership Potential:				
Knowledge Base:				

NAME AT LEAST ONE WEAKNESS OF THE CANDIDATE:

END OF ROTATION PRESENTATION *if performed (topic and specify performance/level of scientific depth)*

OTHER STAKEHOLDER ASSESSMENTS OF CANDIDATE IF AVAILABLE:

GOOD	VERY GOOD	OUTSTANDING	EXCEPTIONAL

RESIDENT PERSPECTIVE:

Insert Comments Below:

ANCILLARY STAFF (OR/Clinic RNs, Coordinators, Etc.):

Insert Comments Below:

OVERALL RANK OF CANDIDATE COMPARED TO OTHER UROLOGY CANDIDATES

GOOD	VERY GOOD	OUTSTANDING	EXCEPTIONAL

CONTEXTUALIZE RANKING (i.e. elaborate on observed technical skills and non technical skills that were ranked above, and justify ranking compared to other candidates) (500 word max)

PLEASE SUMMARIZE ANY INFORMATION ABOUT THE CANDIDATE THAT YOU FEEL HAS NOT BEEN HIGHLIGHTED IN THEIR CV OR THIS ASSESSMENT TOOL (500 word max)